







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,494	10/19/2000	Kristine B. Fuimaono	39716/KMO/W112	6739
23363	7590 02/02/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN	
350 WEST CO SUITE 500	LORADO BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PASADENA, CA 91105			3763	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/692,494	FUIMAONO ET AL.				
	, and a second	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Cris L. Rodriguez	3763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address				
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
Extensions of time may be obtained that if 37 GFK 1.135(a). The date of which the petition under 37 GFK 1.135(a) and the appropriate extension fee where the variety of the petition and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.🛛							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 17,18,30-34,36-42,47-51,56 and 61-73.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11,12,26,2	27,43-46,52-55 and 57-60.					
8.	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							

Application No.



6

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 34 raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search. Claims 42, 64, 74-78, 80-86 raise the issue of new matter. The amendment as filed is non-compliant because does not include a complete listing of the claims.

BRIAN L. CAGLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700